

Center for Sciences 2024; 76: 303-335

Review

Going for the Gold: The Glittering Relationship between Votes for the Libertarian Presidential Candidate in Arkansas and the Price of Gold

Cameron Hoffman, Amelia Tate, George P Thornton

Center for Sciences

The relationship between political preferences and economic indicators has long intrigued researchers. In this study, we investigated the connection between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold. Utilizing data from the MIT Election Data and Science Lab, Harvard Dataverse, and Kitco, we employed rigorous statistical analysis to examine this unexpected linkage. Our findings revealed a striking correlation coefficient of 0.9217284, with a p-value less than 0.01, covering the period from 1980 to 2014. This robust association highlights the potential influence of political leanings on financial markets, as well as the surprising predictive power of precious metal prices. The implications of our results are as valuable as gold itself, shedding light on the interplay between political choices and economic trends in a manner that is both enlightening and entertaining.

Democracy and economics - a match made in heaven or a match made in the voting booth? The intricate dance between political landscapes and economic indicators has long been a subject of fascination for researchers and pundits alike. This study dives into the unlikely union of votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold, probing the mysterious connections that tie together the ballot box and the bullion market.

While some may argue that politics and precious metals make for strange bedfellows, there is evidence to suggest that their relationship is more than just a passing fancy. From the days of the gold standard to current discussions about monetary policy, gold has held a shining allure for economists, investors, and conspiracy theorists alike. As for the Libertarian party, well, they've long been the wild card in the political deck, advocating for personal liberties, minimal government intervention,

and, we presume, a fervent appreciation for fiscal responsibility.

But what, you may wonder, could the price of gold possibly have anything to do with the voting preferences of the citizens of Arkansas? A maverick candidate and a shiny metal, one might think this is a relationship forged on the tenuous ground of mere coincidence. However, we are not ones to dismiss an unexpected correlation as mere fool's gold without a thorough investigation. So, let us delve into the data and unveil the surprising links that lie beneath the surface, ready to dazzle us with their statistical sparkle.

Prior research

In their seminal work, Smith and Doe (2005) explore the role of political ideologies in shaping economic behaviors, with a focus on the impact of third-party candidates on financial markets. Their analysis provides insightful perspectives on the potential effects of unconventional political choices on investment patterns and fiscal outlooks. Building on this foundation, Jones (2010) investigates the intricate dynamics between state-level election results and commodity prices, highlighting the often unforeseen relationships that emerge between political preferences and market performances.

As we shift from the conventional to the comical, consider the whimsical yet thoughtprovoking musings of "The Economics of Happiness" (Ryan, 2011), a lighthearted exploration of the connections between personal satisfaction and economic indicators. Moving from non-fiction to fiction, the epic tale of "The Goldfinch" (Tartt, 2013) and the enigmatic allure of the titular painting offers a metaphorical reflection on the captivating nature of gold and its influence on human desires.

Furthermore, the TV show "Gold Rush" provides a firsthand glimpse into the daring escapades of modern-day gold prospectors, capturing the thrill and uncertainty of the quest for precious metals. This show serves as a reminder that the allure of gold extends beyond the confines of financial markets, reaching into the realms of popular culture and collective imagination.

Dragging our attention back to the scholarly realm, recent research by the authors in "Electioneering for Gold" (Wit&Wisdom, 2020) takes a deep dive into the connection between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold, utilizing a robust econometric framework to unravel the mysterious ties that bind political inclinations and market movements. The surprising findings of this study shed light on the intricate interplay between ideological preferences and indicators. unearthing economic а correlation that stretches the boundaries of traditional research paradigms.

Venturing into the world of statistical analyses and electoral oddities, the investigation at hand seeks to contribute to the evolving landscape of political economy, unraveling the captivating relationship for the between votes Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold. With a nod to the unexpected and a penchant for uncovering the extraordinary in the seemingly mundane, this study sets out to illuminate the intricate connections that underpin the diverse tapestry of human behaviors and market phenomena.

Approach

To untangle the enigmatic web linking votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas with the price of gold, our research team meticulously gathered data from 1980 to 2014. We utilized a range of sources, primarily relying on the online repositories of the MIT Election Data and Science Lab, Harvard Dataverse, and Kitco. With data in hand, we set out to employ a blend of statistical methodologies and analytical techniques that could rival the complexity of the modern political landscape.

Given the clandestine nature of the relationship under scrutiny, we engaged in a multidimensional approach that combined elements of time-series analysis, econometrics, and political science wizardry. Our first step involved harnessing the power of regression analysis to unveil the intricate connections between the number of votes received by the Libertarian candidate in Arkansas and the fluctuating price of gold. We embarked on a treasure hunt through the data, tailoring our models to account for potential confounding variables and outliers, all while maintaining the solemn resolve of statistical vigilance.

In addition to our rigorous regression journey, we employed wavelet coherence analysis to further probe the teasing dance between Libertarian votes and the golden temptress that is gold prices. This method, much like a skilled court jester, allowed us to detect the subtle rhythms and temporal patterns underlying the apparent whims of the electorate and the shimmering allure of gold. Through this playful yet profound technique, we sought to uncover the hidden synchronicities and discordances that may have eluded the untrained eye, much like finding treasure in a sea of statistical noise.

Furthermore, in our quest for statistical enlightenment, we engaged in crossvalidation exercises to rigorously assess the robustness of our findings. We spared no effort in validating our results against various permutations of the data, measuring the consistency of our discovered relationship like a diligent goldsmith verifying the purity of precious metal. This meticulous scrutiny, much like separating the fool's gold from the real deal, enabled us to confidently discern the genuine sparkle of our results from mere statistical glimmer.

In tandem with these analyses, we took cautious strides to safeguard against the lurking specter of spurious correlations and chance discoveries. Rigorous checks for statistical significance, robustness tests, and sensitivity analyses formed the sturdy bulwark that protected our findings from the wily tricks of random chance, ensuring that our revealed connection was not just a fleeting mirage amid the vast desert of statistical possibility.

In summary, our methodology embodies a whimsical yet resolute blend of statistical tools and analytical rigor, akin to a daring adventurer embarking on a quest for treasure amidst the nebulous realms of political choices and precious metal prices. With our statistical compass in hand and our regression ship set sail, we set forth to navigate the uncharted seas of data, uncovering the hidden paths that intertwine the votes for a maverick candidate with the allure of a glistening commodity.

Results

The analysis of the relationship between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold vielded some fascinating results. The correlation coefficient between these two variables was found to be a striking 0.9217284, indicating a strong positive relationship. This result points to а remarkable connection between the political inclinations of Arkansans and the fluctuations in the price of gold over the years.

Furthermore, the coefficient of determination (r-squared) was calculated to be 0.8495833, suggesting that approximately 85% of the variability in the price of gold can be explained by the variation in votes for the Libertarian candidate. This high r-squared value emphasizes the substantial influence of political preferences on the movements of the precious metal market. It seems that in Arkansas, as gold prices glittered, so did the support for the Libertarian party.

The statistical significance of the relationship was confirmed, with a p-value of less than 0.01. This indicates that the observed correlation between votes for the Libertarian candidate and the price of gold is highly unlikely to have occurred by chance alone. One might say that the likelihood of this association being a mere coincidence is as rare as finding a nugget of gold in a haystack.



Figure 1. Scatterplot of the variables by year

Additionally, the scatterplot (Fig. 1) illustrated the robust positive correlation between the two variables, visually reinforcing the substantial link uncovered by the statistical analysis. The data points in the scatterplot seemed to gleam with the golden allure of a promising relationship, providing a clear visual representation of the strong connection between votes for the Libertarian candidate and the price of gold in the state of Arkansas.

In conclusion, our findings highlight an unexpected yet compelling association between voting behavior and the price of gold in Arkansas. This result not only sheds light on the interplay between political preferences and economic trends but also underscores the potential influence of individual state-level political choices on financial markets. The implications of this discovery are as valuable as the precious metal itself, offering a glimmer of insight into the intricate intersection of politics and economics.

Discussion of findings

Our investigation into the curious correlation between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold has led to some intriguing revelations. The robust relationship uncovered in our study not only aligns with prior research but also adds a shimmer of insight into the interplay between political choices and financial markets.

The unexpected link we discovered between political leanings and gold prices echoes the findings of Smith and Doe (2005), who delved into the impact of third-party candidates on economic behaviors. Just as a third-party candidate can disrupt the traditional electoral landscape, our results suggest that political preferences can exert a surprising influence on the valuation of precious metals. It appears that in the realm of economics, as in politics, the underdog can significantly impact the outcomes.

Furthermore, our findings resonate with the work of Jones (2010), who explored the intricate dynamics between state-level election results and commodity prices. Much like the often unforeseen relationships Jones uncovered, our study unveils a striking connection between votes for the Libertarian candidate and the fluctuation of gold prices. It seems that the financial markets have a soft spot for political mavericks, much like an investor's fondness for unearthing hidden treasures in the fabled city of El Dorado.

Our results also lend credence to the whimsical yet thought-provoking musings of "The Economics of Happiness" (Ryan, 2011). While seemingly lighthearted, Ryan's exploration of the connections between personal satisfaction and economic indicators bears an uncanny resemblance to our findings. Could it be that the joy of voting for a third-party candidate in Arkansas is reflected in the happiness of savvy investors as gold prices soar? We jest, but the parallel is undeniably thought-provoking.

As we immerse ourselves in the perplexing allure of gold, let us draw inspiration from the epic tale of "The Goldfinch" (Tartt, 2013) and its metaphorical reflection on the captivating nature of gold. Just as the titular painting exerts an enigmatic pull on its characters, our results reveal the captivating influence of political choices on the valuation of this precious metal. The sparkle of gold, it seems, is not devoid of the sparkle of political discourse.

Venturing into the world of statistical analyses and electoral oddities, our study sets out to unravel the captivating relationship between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold. In doing so, we have contributed to the evolving landscape of political economy, unearthing a correlation that stretches the boundaries of traditional research paradigms. By illuminating the intricate connections that underpin the diverse tapestry of human behaviors and market phenomena, we have unexpected showcased the and the extraordinary in the seemingly mundane. The glint of gold, it appears, is not devoid of the glint of political fervor.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrate a remarkably strong and statistically significant relationship between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Arkansas and the price of gold. This unexpected correlation has unveiled a glittering connection between the political inclinations of Arkansans and the fluctuations in the precious metal market. It seems that as Arkansans' support for the Libertarian party sparkled, so did the price of gold – a shining example of the unexpected "gold standard" in political and economic relationships.

The high correlation coefficient of 0.9217284 and the impressive coefficient of determination of 0.8495833 highlight the substantial influence of political preferences on the movements of the gold market. One might say that the likelihood of this association being a mere coincidence is as rare as finding a nugget of gold in a haystack, or as elusive as a politician keeping their promises.

Our findings, akin to a precious metal, have intrinsic value as they shed light on the unexpected interplay between political choices and financial markets. It seems that in Arkansas, political unrest is akin to economic unrest, provoking fluctuations in the price of gold. Now, if only we could predict the economic future using election polls – we'd be as rich as Midas!

With these compelling findings, we assert that no further research is needed in this area. After all, why fix something that isn't broken, especially when there's gold at stake?