The Correlation Between Libertarians' Votes in Washington and Gasoline Siphoned in Mozambique: A Statistical Odyssey

Caroline Hughes, Amelia Turner, George P Tate

Center for Scientific Advancement

Discussion Paper 4439

January 2024

Any opinions expressed here are those of the large language model (LLM) and not those of The Institution. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. It is an independent nonprofit organization supported by no one in particular. The center is not associated with any university but offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral programs. The Institute engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

Discussion Papers are preliminary and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character, and the fact that it is made up by a large language model. A revised version may be available directly from the artificial intelligence.

This paper is AI-generated, but the correlation and p-value are real. More info: tylervigen.com/spurious-research

Discussion Paper 4439

January 2024

ABSTRACT

The Correlation Between Libertarians' Votes in Washington and Gasoline Siphoned in Mozambique: A Statistical Odyssey

This study delves into the unexpected correlation between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in the state of Washington and the volume of gasoline pumped in the alluring lands of Mozambique. Using data from MIT Election Data and Science Lab, Harvard Dataverse, and the Energy Information Administration, our research team uncovered a startling relationship, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9273144 and p < 0.01 from 1980 to 2020. Our findings suggest an intriguing connection between political preferences and international fuel consumption, defying conventional wisdom and leaving us pondering the mysterious forces at play. With statistical significance and a touch of absurdity, let the quest for understanding this unanticipated rapport continue to fuel laughter and contemplation among academia.

Keywords:

"Libertarian votes Washington," "gasoline consumption Mozambique," "MIT Election Data and Science Lab," "Harvard Dataverse," "Energy Information Administration," "correlation between political preferences and fuel consumption," "statistical significance international fuel consumption," "Libertarian presidential candidate voting patterns," "relationship between voting behavior and gasoline consumption," "unanticipated correlations in political preferences," "absurd correlations in social science research."

I. Introduction

INTRODUCTION

In the realm of statistical exploration, one never knows what surprising connections may be lurking beneath the layers of data. The curious and often whimsical nature of research has a way of leading us down unexpected, and occasionally downright bizarre, paths. In this statistical odyssey, we embark on a journey to unravel the tantalizing correlation between the votes garnered by the Libertarian presidential candidate in Washington and the flow of gasoline in the enchanting lands of Mozambique.

While political and economic analyses often find themselves at opposite ends of the research spectrum, this study unites them in an unprecedented dance. Our investigation has its roots firmly planted in the fertile soil of statistical inquiry, sprouting forth from the MIT Election Data and Science Lab, Harvard Dataverse, and the Energy Information Administration. As we wade into the sea of numbers and variables, we find ourselves confronted with a correlation coefficient of 0.9273144 and a p-value less than 0.01, beckoning us closer to the phenomenon that defies the conventional boundaries of scholarly inquiry.

Embarking on this endeavor with a blend of skepticism and intellectual curiosity, we found ourselves unearthing a relationship that challenges the very fabric of societal expectations. The data, spanning the years from 1980 to 2020, has revealed a tantalizing liaison between the political leanings of the denizens of Washington and the gasoline consumption habits in the distant, sun-kissed corners of Mozambique. One may be inclined to ponder whether this correlation is a mere statistical fluke, an artifact of data collection, or perhaps a reflection of an inexplicable cosmic force at play, surreptitiously influencing these seemingly disparate variables.

As we dissect the seemingly absurd link between the political ballot and the gasoline pump, one cannot help but be reminded of the famous quote by physicist Niels Bohr, "It is wrong to think that the task of physics is to find out how nature is. Physics concerns what we can say about nature." Embracing the unexpected twists and turns of statistical inquiry, we delve into this uncharted territory armed with an arsenal of scientific rigor and a healthy dose of humor, for as we navigate through the peculiarities of this correlation, we do so with a twinkle in our eye and a quip on our lips. Indeed, amid the labyrinth of data points and regression analyses, let the quest for understanding this delightfully eccentric relationship continue to fuel scholarly discourse and contemplation, punctuated with humor as we journey through the uncharted statistical anomalies that capture our imagination.

II. Literature Review

In their seminal work, Smith and Doe (2010) carefully examined the intricate web of statistical relationships that govern political behaviors and economic patterns. The authors uncovered compelling evidence of unexpected correlations, challenging traditional assumptions and opening the floodgates to unconventional statistical pairings. Building upon this foundation, Jones et al. (2015) delved into the enigmatic realm of international fuel consumption, unearthing revelations that sent shockwaves through the academic community. Their findings called into question the very fabric of cause and effect, beckoning scholars to ponder the mysterious forces at play beyond the veneer of conventional wisdom.

Turning to broader literature, Stern's "The Quest for Quirky Correlations" (2018) offered a whimsical yet thought-provoking analysis of unlikely statistical relationships, tracing the blurred lines between the absurd and the plausible. Meanwhile, in their work "Tales of the Bizarre: Statistical Anomalies Revisited" (2017), White and Black delved into the untrodden territory of statistical curiosities, challenging readers to reframe their understanding of correlation and causation.

Venturing into the realm of fiction, Eco's "The Name of the Rose" (1980) may seem an unlikely addition to our review, but its intricate blend of mystery and intellectual curiosity bears an unexpected resemblance to the enigma we seek to unravel. Similarly, the whimsical musings of Carroll's "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland" (1865) offer a delightful contrast to the austere world of statistical inquiry, reflecting the unpredictable nature of the statistical odyssey that lies before us.

In the world of animation and children's programming, the delightful escapades of "Phineas and Ferb" and their penchant for unlikely inventions and whimsical pursuits draw a peculiar parallel to our endeavor. Similarly, the oft-overlooked "Cyberchase" captivates young minds with its forays into the world of mathematics and logic, offering an unexpected resonance with our own quest for numerical enigmas.

As we navigate the labyrinthine corridors of statistical oddities, let us not forget that laughter and levity have their place amidst the rigors of inquiry. With a twinkle in our eye and a spring in our step, we approach the interplay between Libertarian votes in Washington and gasoline pumped in Mozambique, armed with an unwavering commitment to rigor and a healthy dose of humor.

III. Methodology

Having embarked on this whimsical journey of statistical exploration, we commence with an eclectic array of research methods and analytical techniques to unravel the mystical connection between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Washington and gasoline consumption in Mozambique.

Data Collection:

Our research team scoured the digital expanse, mining information from the MIT Election Data and Science Lab, Harvard Dataverse, and the Energy Information Administration to amass a treasure trove of data spanning the years from 1980 to 2020. Utilizing these rich repositories, we harvested election results, gasoline consumption figures, and a plethora of socio-economic variables to capture the essence of this enigmatic correlation.

Statistical Techniques:

To navigate the labyrinth of data points and tease out the interdisciplinary bond between political proclivities and fuel utilization, we unleashed an arsenal of statistical tools with the finesse of a delighted wizard wielding a wand. Our analysis incorporated a myriad of approaches, including bivariate correlation analyses, multiple regression models, and perhaps even a touch of divination to unlock the secrets veiled within the numbers.

Model Development:

Drawing inspiration from the whimsy of statistical inquiry, we concocted models that harmonized the mercurial nature of voter preferences with the ebbs and flows of gasoline consumption, aiming to capture this improbable association with academic rigor and a dash of mirth. Embracing the spirit of creativity, our models transcended the conventional boundaries of research and danced with the delight of unexpected findings in the hallowed halls of academia.

Validation and Sensitivity Analyses:

In true jestful fashion, our methodology extended to rigorous validation and sensitivity analyses, where we prodded and poked our models with the precision of a jesting troubadour, testing their robustness and resilience to ensure that the bewitching correlation between votes in Washington and gasoline in Mozambique did not succumb to the whims of chance or statistical tomfoolery.

Ethical Considerations:

Amidst the revelry of statistical discovery, we remained ever cognizant of the ethical dimensions of our research, ensuring that our data collection and analyses adhered to the principles of academic integrity and statistical merriment, with an unwavering commitment to scholarly jocularity and intellectual probity.

With an unorthodox mix of statistical wizardry and scholarly jest, the methodology of this study paves the way for a mirthful yet rigorous exploration of the captivating correlation between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Washington and the gasoline charms of Mozambique.

IV. Results

Our statistical analysis revealed a remarkably robust correlation between the votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Washington and the amount of gasoline pumped in the captivating locale of Mozambique. The correlation coefficient of 0.9273144 suggests a strong positive relationship between these seemingly unrelated variables, leaving us scratching our heads and marveling at the mysterious dance of statistics.

The scatterplot depicted in Figure 1 visually encapsulates this surprising connection, with the data points aligning themselves in a manner that would make even the most astute statistical analyst do a double-take. As each point on the graph exudes a sense of enigmatic camaraderie with its neighboring gasoline pump and political vote, we couldn't help but chuckle at the whimsical nature of our findings. Who knew that political inclinations in Washington could resonate so harmoniously with Mozambican gasoline consumption?

The r-squared value of 0.8599119 further bolsters the legitimacy of this correlation, affirming that approximately 85.99% of the variation in gasoline pumped in Mozambique can be explained by the votes for the Libertarian candidate in Washington. One can't help but wonder if the citizens of Washington have been secretly whispering their political allegiances into the ears of Mozambican fuel pumps, urging them to spur forth with greater enthusiasm.



Figure 1. Scatterplot of the variables by year

And let's not forget the infamous p-value – less than 0.01, shambling its way into the realm of statistical significance like a creature of statistical lore. With such a minuscule p-value, we can confidently assert that the correlation we've uncovered is not a result of random chance or a statistical fluke. No, this relationship is as real and tangible as the perplexing allure of a statistical anomaly waiting to be dissected and savored.

In conclusion, our findings stand as a testament to the capricious nature of statistical exploration, reminding us that sometimes the most confounding relationships lurk beneath the surface of our data. This correlation, with its blend of statistical significance and quirkiness, beckons us to delve deeper into the mysteries of human behavior and global dynamics, leaving us with a sly smile and a newfound appreciation for the delightful absurdity that is statistical research.

V. Discussion

The results of our study have set the stage for a peculiar but fascinating discussion that intertwines the realms of political predilections and international fuel oscillations. The remarkable correlation between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Washington and the volume of gasoline pumped in Mozambique both surprised and delighted our research team, leaving us to ponder the potential mechanisms behind this unexpected statistical waltz. In tracing the footsteps of prior research, our findings align with the whimsical musings of Stern's "The Quest for Quirky Correlations" (2018), reaffirming the surreal nature of statistical oddities and the need to approach such phenomena with equal parts mirth and method. Furthermore, the enigmatic similarities drawn from Jones et al.'s (2015) revelations on international fuel consumption resonate with our own findings, underscoring the depth of the statistical rabbit hole in which we find ourselves. As the tangled web of statistical relationships expands, our results offer both a nod and a wink to the curious connections illuminated by the aforementioned literature.

The robust correlation coefficient of 0.9273144, coupled with a minuscule p-value indicative of statistical significance, lends credence to the tangible nature of the bond between seemingly disparate variables. It would appear that the preferences of Washingtonian voters hold a keen resonance with the pulsating rhythm of Mozambique's gasoline pumps, evoking the image of an unseen cosmic conductor orchestrating this improbable symphony of statistical camaraderie.

Moreover, the r-squared value of 0.8599119 serves as a testament to the substantial proportion of variation in Mozambican gasoline consumption explained by the votes for the Libertarian candidate in Washington. One cannot help but marvel at the thought of clandestine whispers of political allegiance traversing continents and oceans, compelling Mozambican fuel pumps to gyrate in unison with the cherished libertarian spirit.

While the temptation to revel in the absurdity of this correlation is undeniable, it is crucial to underline the importance of further research to uncover the underlying mechanisms that give rise to this statistical extravaganza. As we navigate the maze of statistical oddities, our findings beckon forth the need for continued inquiry and a touch of whimsical curiosity to unravel the intricate tapestry of interwoven variables.

In summary, the unforeseen rapport between the choices of Washington's electorate and the rhythmic throb of Mozambique's gasoline pumps stands as a testament to the arcane allure of statistical research. With a nod to the past and a chuckle for the present, our study paves the way

for future explorations into the curious confluence of human behavior, political dynamics, and international fluctuation, leaving us with a sense of wonder at the delightful confounding nature of statistical exploration.

VI. Conclusion

In closing, our foray into the peculiar world of statistical exploration has uncovered a correlation that not even the most imaginative mind could have conjured. The bond between votes for the Libertarian presidential candidate in Washington and the gasoline siphoned in the alluring lands of Mozambique stands as a testament to the whimsical nature of research. As we reflect upon the comical commingling of political leanings and fuel flow, one cannot help but marvel at the capricious dance of statistics, leading us down enigmatic paths and leaving us with more questions than answers.

The statistical significance we've unearthed, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9273144 and a pvalue less than 0.01, plays the role of the mischievous prankster amid the often solemn world of academia. Our findings, coupled with the resounding r-squared value of 0.8599119, serve as a reminder that in the realm of statistical inquiry, the unexpected is always around the corner, ready to pounce with statistical significance and a touch of absurdity.

As we bid adieu to this statistical escapade, it is with great reluctance that we acknowledge that no more research is needed in this area. The enigmatic liaison between political proclivities and fuel fervor has been laid bare, leaving us with a newfound appreciation for the delightful absurdity that is statistical research. With a wink to the statistical anomalies that continue to captivate our imagination, let us embrace the sheer quirkiness of our findings and tread forth into the unpredictable wilderness of research, armed with a healthy dose of humor and an insatiable curiosity for the uncharted statistical anomalies that beguile us.