The Ties between Tasty Technology and Troubling Trends: The Relationship between Food Scientists and Google Searches for 'How to Hide a Body'

Charlotte Hughes, Aaron Thompson, Gina P Tyler

Advanced Research Consortium

Discussion Paper 3437

January 2024

Any opinions expressed here are those of the large language model (LLM) and not those of The Institution. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. It is an independent nonprofit organization supported by no one in particular. The center is not associated with any university but offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral programs. The Institute engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

Discussion Papers are preliminary and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character, and the fact that it is made up by

a large language model. A revised version may be available directly from the artificial intelligence.

Discussion Paper 3437

January 2024

ABSTRACT

The Ties between Tasty Technology and Troubling Trends: The Relationship between Food Scientists and Google Searches for 'How to Hide a Body'

The present study aims to explore the peculiar correlation between the number of food scientists and technologists in the state of Arkansas and Google searches for the phrase 'how to hide a body'. Leveraging data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics and Google Trends, a comprehensive analysis was conducted for the period spanning from 2004 to 2022. The startling coefficient of correlation, 0.7984393, and the statistically significant p-value of less than 0.01 elicited great curiosity in the research team, leading to an exhaustive investigation of this curious connection. This research brings to light the unexpected relationship between the delectable domain of culinary science and the somewhat disconcerting realm of search engine queries related to clandestine activities. As we delve deeper into the findings, we begin to decipher the whimsical dance between gastronomical expertise and internet inquiries veering into less savory territory. Extraordinary patterns emerge, unveiling a rich, albeit slightly macabre, tapestry that characterizes the juncture of these evidently unrelated fields. The implications of this unusual correlation extend far beyond the confines of academics, beckoning us to consider the idiosyncrasies of human curiosity and behavior.

Keywords:

food scientists, technologists, Arkansas, Google searches, 'how to hide a body', correlation, culinary science, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Google Trends, data analysis, search engine queries, clandestine activities, internet inquiries, human behavior

I. Introduction

In the realm of gastronomic innovation and culinary exploration, the contributions of food scientists and technologists are pivotal in shaping our culinary landscape. These dedicated professionals labor tirelessly to enhance food quality, safety, and flavor, armed with a deep understanding of chemistry, microbiology, and engineering. Their efforts manifest in tantalizing flavors, innovative food processing techniques, and the seamless incorporation of technology into the culinary domain. However, amid this delectable tapestry of culinary creativity, the unexpected convergence of another, more ominous realm emerges - one that beckons us to peer into the curious and enigmatic world of search engine queries related to surreptitious activities.

This study, under the title "The Ties between Tasty Technology and Troubling Trends: The Relationship between Food Scientists and Google Searches for 'How to Hide a Body'", seeks to unravel the peculiar correlation between the number of food scientists and technologists in the state of Arkansas and the seemingly incongruous Google searches for the phrase 'how to hide a body'. Perhaps, at first glance, this juxtaposition may appear whimsical or inconceivable, but the empirical evidence points to a surprisingly strong association that demands scrutiny.

As researchers, we embark on a journey that traverses the diverse terrains of culinary science and the disquieting domain of clandestine queries, aiming to decipher the whimsical dance between these apparently disparate fields. Our exploration is underpinned by an extensive analysis leveraging data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics and Google Trends encompassing a substantial timeframe from 2004 to 2022. The riveting coefficient of correlation, standing at 0.7984393, and the statistically significant p-value of less than 0.01, serve as testament to the gravity and peculiarity of this association.

We beckon the reader to join us in this eccentric and unanticipated exploration, as we unravel the perplexing nuances of this strange amalgamation. As we venture forth into the inner workings of these unexpected correlations, we uncover an intricate tapestry of information that compels us to contemplate the confluence of gastronomical prowess and clandestine curiosity. It is our fervent hope that this research journey will not only illuminate the hidden link between these disparate fields but also offer a whimsical and thought-provoking perspective on the idiosyncrasies of human inquiry and interests.

II. Literature Review

The curious correlation between the number of food scientists and technologists in Arkansas and Google searches for 'how to hide a body' has piqued the interest of researchers in various fields. Smith et al. (2015) conducted a comprehensive study on the intersection of culinary science and clandestine activities, shedding light on the unexpected parallels that lurk within these distinct domains. Their findings hinted at a peculiar intertwining of gastronomical expertise and the propensity for delving into more sinister inquiries, setting the stage for further exploration into this uncharted territory.

Building on this foundation, Doe (2018) delved deeper into the enigmatic relationship between gastronomic prowess and the disposition to seek information on concealing certain objects, albeit of an anatomical nature. Doe's work brought to the forefront the palpable tension between the

savory realm of culinary innovation and the clandestine allure of conducting surreptitious searches.

Jones (2020) expanded on these notions and postulated that the alluring aroma of freshly baked bread might unwittingly conjure up nefarious thoughts in the minds of internet users. This hypothesis, while seemingly far-fetched, necessitated a closer examination of the intricate interplay between the culinary arts and the more disconcerting recesses of human curiosity.

The compilation of these insightful studies lays the groundwork for our endeavor to unravel the web of connections between the delectable domain of food science and the rather unsettling realm of internet searches related to clandestine activities. As we journey through this unconventional terrain, we are compelled to consider the whimsical threads that intertwine the seemingly incongruous realms of culinary creativity and covert inquiries, casting a peculiar charm on this unanticipated exploration.

In a tangentially related vein, the seminal work "The Science of Food and Cooking" by McGee (2004) offers a captivating insight into the chemical compositions and transformations that underpin the culinary world, delving into the intricacies of gastronomical alchemy and its wider societal implications. However, while McGee's opus provides an in-depth understanding of the science behind the creation of delectable dishes, it regrettably falls short in addressing the potential ramifications of this culinary knowledge on internet search trends related to unconventional topics.

Turning our attention to fictional narratives that might shed light on the subconscious leanings towards both culinary expertise and clandestine curiosities, the timeless works of Agatha Christie, particularly "The Murder of Roger Ackroyd" and "Death on the Nile," beckon us to consider the timeless fascination with the intersection of delectable dinners and, well, murder. While the revered author's mysteries are crafted with an unapologetic penchant for intrigue and devious culinary side plots, they subtly hint at the inextricable links between culinary artistry and less salubrious endeavors.

Furthermore, the cinematic realm offers a myriad of intriguing portrayals that, while not directly relevant to our investigation, provide a whimsical perspective on the interplay between gastronomical expertise and clandestine elements. "Ratatouille," a light-hearted animated feature, illustrates the transformative power of culinary prowess and the protagonist's unwavering dedication to mastering the art of fine dining. However, it regrettably omits any references to internet queries on concealing incriminating evidence, leaving the audience clamoring for a crossover between culinary finesse and criminal capers.

As we navigate through this eclectic array of literature and media, we find ourselves at the crux of a captivating conundrum that demands thorough investigation and a lighthearted appreciation for the idiosyncrasies of human curiosity. It is with this blend of scholarly rigor and irrepressible mirth that we delve into the nuances of our peculiar research endeavor, driven by a fervent curiosity and a penchant for the delightfully unconventional.

III. Methodology

In the pursuit of unraveling the entangled web of culinary expertise and clandestine curiosity, our research team undertook a methodological odyssey, guided by the principles of empirical rigor and a pinch of scientific whimsy. The first step in our quest involved the acquisition of data

pertinent to the number of food scientists and technologists in the state of Arkansas. To accomplish this feat, we turned to the esteemed Bureau of Labor Statistics, extracting comprehensive employment figures spanning from 2004 to 2022. Armed with these numerical nuggets of gastronomic prowess, we embarked on a statistical sojourn, seeking to discern any peculiar patterns lurking beneath the surface.

Subsequently, our expedition led us into the digital domain, where the enigmatic trails of online queries beckoned our exploration. Leveraging the bountiful repository of Google Trends, we delved into the labyrinthine archives of search engine inquiries related to the phrase 'how to hide a body'. This digital escapade spanned the same temporal expanse as our culinary census, encompassing the years from 2004 to 2022.

With our data troves secured, we undertook a series of intricate statistical analyses, wielding the formidable tools of correlation coefficients and regression models. Through the alchemy of mathematical manipulation, we sought to unveil the hidden connections between the delectable world of culinary science and the peculiar proclivities of internet denizens.

Moreover, as seasoned sleuths of scientific inquiry, we recognized the need for additional investigations to fortify our findings. A supplementary examination of demographic and socioeconomic factors within the state of Arkansas was conducted, aiming to discern any ambient influences that might surreptitiously meddle with our observed correlations. Additionally, a foray into the annals of psychological literature was undertaken, in a spirited endeavor to comprehend the underlying motivations fueling the enigmatic searches that permeated the digital realm.

Our methodological escapade culminated in the unveiling of a coherent narrative that intertwines the delectable intricacies of culinary science with the rather disconcerting undercurrents of surreptitious internet inquiries. The findings of our expedition are presented in the subsequent sections, brimming with insights and revelations that bridge the realms of gastronomic expertise and the unanticipated quirks of online exploration.

IV. Results

The results of the analysis revealed a striking correlation coefficient of 0.7984393 between the number of food scientists and technologists employed in Arkansas and the frequency of Google searches for the rather disconcerting phrase 'how to hide a body'. This coefficient, along with an r-squared value of 0.6375053, signified a robust relationship between these seemingly unrelated variables. The p-value of less than 0.01 further emphasized the statistical significance of this unexpected association, prompting the research team to embark on a journey of inquiry into the whimsical interplay between the culinary domain and queries intruding into the realm of clandestine activities.

The scatterplot depicted in Figure 1 visually encapsulates the compelling relationship uncovered through our analysis, showcasing the remarkable alignment of the number of food scientists and technologists in Arkansas with the frequency of Google searches for methods of surreptitious disposal. The figure elegantly portrays the unlikely bond between culinary expertise and the evidently more enigmatic facets of human curiosity, inviting contemplation on the idiosyncrasies that underpin this unanticipated correlation.

This astonishing finding not only underscores the prevalence of human curiosity in peculiar realms but also highlights the intricate interconnections that interlace seemingly unrelated fields

of inquiry. The implications reverberate beyond the boundaries of our study, prodding us to reflect on the capricious nature of human interests and the unexpected harmonies that unfold between disparate domains. Indeed, the convergence of the savory and the sinister in this context sparks a whimsical dance of conjecture, encouraging us to discern the peculiar melodies woven into the fabric of these seemingly incongruous areas of human inquiry.




Figure 1. Scatterplot of the variables by year

V. Discussion

The unexpected nexus between the number of food scientists and technologists in Arkansas and Google searches for 'how to hide a body' has unfurled a tapestry of inconceivable connections, beckoning us to contemplate the whimsical dance between gastronomical expertise and clandestine queries. Our findings not only align with prior research that hinted at the peculiar intertwining of culinary science and less savory pursuits but also shed light on the robust relationship underpinning this seemingly surprising correlation.

Drawing from the literature review, our results bolster Smith et al.'s (2015) initial revelation of an unanticipated linkage between gastronomical expertise and the propensity for exploring more sinister inquiries. The robust correlation coefficient unveiled in our research echoes the palpable tension outlined by Doe (2018) and expands on Jones' (2020) hypothesis, illuminating the intriguing interplay between the allure of freshly baked bread and clandestine thoughts. This alignment with previous studies underscores the irrefutable nature of the gastronomic-cumquerulous precariousness that envelops our investigation, infusing it with a lighthearted appreciation for the idiosyncrasies of human curiosity.

The startling correlation coefficient and statistically significant p-value unearthed in our analysis aptly encapsulate the captivating conundrum at the heart of this research. The unmistakable alignment of the number of food scientists and technologists with the frequency of Google searches for surreptitious activities is akin to a culinary masterpiece—a delectable fusion of unlikely ingredients, leaving the audience entranced by its unexpected harmony.

Our research brings to the forefront the beguiling interconnections that interlace seemingly incongruous fields, challenging us to confront the capricious nature of human interests and the clandestine allure of internet inquiries. As we wade through this unconventional terrain, we are compelled to tease out the fascinating nuances that underpin the amalgamation of savory science and surreptitious queries, beckoning us to embrace the delightful unorthodoxy of our research undertaking.

In the spirit of rigorous inquiry and a desire to uncover the enigmatic threads that bind the savory and the sinister, our discussion of the correlation between the number of food scientists and technologists in Arkansas and Google searches for 'how to hide a body' embarks on a whimsical quest, one that defies convention and invites us to revel in the playful interplay of incongruous domains.

VI. Conclusion

In conclusion, the findings of this study illuminate the unexpected and rather quirky relationship between the number of food scientists and technologists in Arkansas and the frequency of Google searches for 'how to hide a body'. The robust correlation coefficient of 0.7984393, alongside the visually compelling scatterplot, underscores the whimsical convergence of culinary expertise and curious inquiries delving into the clandestine realm. It appears that as food science and technology thrive, so does the public's proclivity for surreptitious pursuits, giving a whole new meaning to the phrase "cooking up trouble."

As we reflect on the implications of this peculiar correlation, it becomes evident that the intersection of these seemingly incongruous fields beckons us to contemplate the capricious nature of human curiosity. One might say that the results leave us with food for thought, albeit of a rather macabre nature.

With these compelling findings laid bare, it is clear that no stone, or in this case, no body, is left unturned in the pursuit of scientific inquiry. It is our firm conviction that this study sheds light on a truly unexpected interplay, one that tantalizes the intellect while leaving us with a dash of bemusement. In light of the enlightening findings, it is our candid recommendation that further research in this particular area might be as unnecessary as an extra dessert after a sumptuous meal - delightful, but perhaps superfluous. Therefore, we venture to assert that no further investigation is needed to pursue this avenue of exploration, as the correlation between the employment of food scientists and technologists and the inquiries into clandestine activities has been thoroughly and deliciously unraveled.