# Breathe and Watch: The Air Pollution and TV Ratings Connection in Portland, Oregon

## Catherine Hoffman, Alice Turner, Gideon P Turnbull

### Academic Excellence Institute

This study examines the surprising link between air pollution levels in Portland, Oregon and the highest Nielsen ratings for a TV show each year. With a touch of whimsy and a dash of curiosity, we delved into the correlation between the prevalence of particulate matter and the popularity of prime-time programming. Utilizing data from the Environmental Protection Agency and Wikipedia, our research team uncovered a correlation coefficient of 0.5746593 and p < 0.01 for the years 1980 to 2020. Our findings are sure to leave you breathless and entertained, just like a thrilling season finale. So, grab some popcorn, a face mask, and tune in for the unexpected connections we've unearthed in this offbeat analysis.

Lights, camera, pollution! Who would have thought that the air we breathe could have an impact on the TV shows we love to watch? In this unconventional study, we set out to uncover the mysterious connection between air pollution levels in Portland, Oregon and the highest Nielsen ratings for a TV show each year. It's a tale of two seemingly unrelated entities that we've brought together in a scientific tango of discovery. As we delved into the realm of environmental data and television viewership, little did we know that we'd be navigating through the smog of air pollution to find the golden nuggets of TV ratings.

Portland, Oregon – a city renowned for its eco-consciousness, quirky charm, and, apparently, its capacity to influence the television habits of its residents. This city, nestled in the beautiful Pacific Northwest, is no stranger to the whims of weather and environmental fluctuations. But could there be a hidden link between the hazy skies and the rise of primetime favorites? Join us in this whimsical journey as we uncover the seemingly absurd but irrefutable connection between the air we breathe and the shows we binge-watch.

As we embark on this research odyssey, let's not forget to appreciate the humor nestled within this atypical investigation. After all, who wouldn't want to uncover the "air-raising" connection between smog and the small screen? So, fasten your seatbelts and prepare for a wild ride through the data clouds, where the skies are ready to clear and reveal the surprising entanglement between two seemingly distant phenomena.

#### Review of existing research

As we delve into the world of air pollution and television ratings, it is essential to first examine the serious and scholarly work that has laid the groundwork for our offbeat investigation. Smith (2010) revealed the detrimental effects of air pollution on public health, highlighting its impact on respiratory diseases and overall well-being. Similarly, Doe (2015) scrutinized the role of television in shaping cultural norms and societal behaviors, emphasizing the power of the small screen in influencing viewer preferences.

Jones (2018) further expanded our understanding of environmental factors on human behavior, offering insights into the interconnectedness of urban living and media consumption. These foundational studies paved the way for our unconventional exploration of the intersection between air quality and TV show ratings.

Moving beyond the conventional research domain, we take inspiration from non-fiction literature that delves into the complexities of urban life and environmental impact. "The Hidden Life of Trees" by Peter Wohlleben and "The Uninhabitable Earth" by David Wallace-Wells offer intriguing perspectives on the interplay between nature and human activity, providing an unconventional lens through which to view our investigation.

Transitioning to a lighter note, let's not discount the potential influence of fiction literature on the subconscious minds of the population. "The Road" by Cormac McCarthy and "Station Eleven" by Emily St. John Mandel – novels set in post-apocalyptic worlds – echo the dystopian implications of environmental degradation, portraying a future where television may yet reign as a vestige of civilization.

In the realm of popular culture, it would be remiss not to acknowledge the infectious impact of animated series and children's shows on societal trends. From "Captain Planet and the Planeteers" to "The Magic School Bus," these whimsical yet educational programs have instilled environmental consciousness in the impressionable minds of young viewers, perhaps sowing the seeds for future environmental activism and, who knows, influencing their TV viewing habits in adulthood. We have traversed the serious, the thought-provoking, and the whimsical realms of literature to contextualize our research into the nebulous relationship between air pollution and TV ratings. As we journey into the depths of our findings, one cannot help but marvel at the unexpected associations we uncover - an endeavor that is bound to leave you breathless with anticipation.

#### Procedure

For our off-the-wall investigation into the potential link between air pollution levels and TV show ratings in Portland, Oregon, we employed a concoction of unconventional methods, a pinch of humor, and a sprinkle of skepticism. Our team scoured the vast expanse of the internet, extracting data from reputable sources such as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the treasure trove of knowledge known as Wikipedia. We were left with a smorgasbord of statistics spanning from 1980 to 2020, a period of dramatic environmental changes and equally thrilling television programming.

To measure the whimsically juxtaposed variables of air pollution levels and television popularity, we dabbled in the dark arts of data analytics. With the finesse of a circus performer juggling flaming batons, we calculated the annual average concentrations of particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) in Portland, Oregon. The EPA, our trusted ally in this grand escapade, furnished us with the necessary pollution data to fuel our boisterous analysis.

Next on the agenda was the mischievous task of unraveling the Nielsen ratings for the highest-rated TV show each year. With the fervor of a detective tracking down culprits in a mystery novel, we hunted through Nielsen reports, entertainment archives, and historical records of televised successes and fumbles. Our diligent pursuit of TV ratings led us to the astonishing rendezvous with each year's crowning televised gem.

Armed with our aero-emission and TV viewership datasets, we performed a statistical waltz between the measured air pollution levels and the Nielsen ratings. Cue the suspenseful music as we calculated the correlation coefficient to gauge the strength of the relationship and determined the statistical significance of our findings. Our methodological madness yielded a correlation coefficient of 0.5746593 and p < 0.01, indicating a puzzlingly captivating link that left us in awe, akin to the plot twists of a binge-worthy TV series.

As we pranced through this research endeavor, navigating the tumultuous seas of data collection and analysis, we remained vigilant in acknowledging the inherent limitations and potential confounders of our research. While our findings suggest an unexpected correlation, causation remains as elusive as the trail of breadcrumbs in a fairy tale forest.

We invite fellow adventurers to don their thinking caps, don their snorkels of skepticism, and embrace the playful spirit of this unconventional scientific expedition. The esoteric relationship between air pollution and TV show ratings has unlocked an enigmatic riddle, blazing a trail for future inquiries into the uncanny parallels of our modern world. So, grab your popcorn, cue the dramatic music, and prepare to be swept away by the curious convergence of air pollution and prime-time television.

#### Findings

Our analysis revealed a statistically significant correlation between air pollution levels and the highest Nielsen ratings for TV shows in Portland, Oregon over the period of 1980 to 2020. The correlation coefficient of 0.5746593, r-squared of 0.3302333, and p-value less than 0.01 indicate a moderate to strong relationship between these two seemingly unrelated phenomena. It seems that the hazy air and the small screen have indeed been in cahoots for quite some time.

In Figure 1, the scatterplot showcases the intriguing connection we've uncovered. As the levels of air pollution fluctuate, so do the highest Nielsen ratings for TV shows, creating a dance of numbers that would make even the most seasoned statistician do a double-take. It's almost as if the smog whispered secrets to the TV antennas, guiding the viewers toward their next bingeworthy series.

Our results not only add a touch of whimsy to the realm of environmental and entertainment research but also serve as a reminder that scientific exploration can often lead to the most unexpected and delightful discoveries. Much like a plot twist in a riveting drama, the correlation we observed brings a new dimension to the understanding of air quality and audience engagement, turning what was once considered mere air pollution into a potentially pivotal player in the TV ratings game.



Figure 1. Scatterplot of the variables by year

With this correlation in hand, we invite fellow researchers to join us in this comical yet enlightening journey through the uncharted territories of colliding worlds—the misty skies of air pollution and the flickering lights of television ratings. As we venture forth, let's set our sights on unearthing the hidden connections that may be lurking in the most unlikely places, much like a cliffhanger waiting to be resolved.

#### Discussion

Our findings not only confirm, but also infuse a breath of fresh air into the previously overlooked relationship between air pollution levels and television ratings. As we reflect on our journey through this uncharted territory, the correlation coefficient of 0.5746593 and p-value less than 0.01 underscore the robustness of the association we've uncovered. It appears that the winds of influence from air pollution may have been blowing through the TV screens of Portland residents for much longer than anyone had dared to imagine. So, how did this delightful dance between dirty air and high-rated TV shows come to be?

Echoing the whimsical inspirations from our offbeat literature review, it's as if the smog-laden skies of Portland have been whispering secrets to both the trees and the TV antennas, shaping cultural preferences in unsuspecting ways. Drawing on the insights of Wohlleben and Wallace-Wells, one might even suggest that the gradual degradation of air quality has insidiously seeped into the collective consciousness, perhaps influencing viewers to seek solace and distraction in the world of television. In a twist of irony, the haze may have inadvertently fueled the desire for captivating programming, much like a magician using misdirection to divert attention.

The results from our study align with prior research findings emphasizing the intricate interplay between environmental factors and human behaviors. Smith's revelations on the detrimental effects of air pollution now take on a different hue as we consider the potential indirect impacts on entertainment preferences. As Doe illuminated the power of television in shaping cultural norms, our findings add a layer of complexity by suggesting that external environmental factors might also play a role in shaping viewer choices.

Furthermore, the unexpected connections between air pollution and TV ratings resonate with the cautionary echoes from dystopian fiction literature, bringing to life the surreal implications of environmental degradation on everyday life. The post-apocalyptic visions of McCarthy and Mandel take on renewed relevance as we ponder the seemingly improbable link between the clarity of the air and the clarity of the television picture. Could it be that the murkiness of the atmosphere has inadvertently steered viewers toward the comfort of familiar TV shows, offering a respite from the gloom of polluted skies?

As we stand at the crossroads of environmental science and entertainment, our study sets the stage for future investigations to unravel the enigmatic ties between seemingly disparate phenomena. Just as an unexpected plot twist in a beloved TV series leaves audiences craving for more, our findings beckon fellow researchers to peer through the haze and uncover the hidden threads that weave the tapestry of human experiences. The bond between air pollution and TV ratings, once an overlooked subplot, now takes center stage in the comical yet captivating drama of intersecting worlds.

#### Conclusion

In conclusion, our study has shed light on the unexpected relationship between air pollution levels in Portland, Oregon and the highest Nielsen ratings for TV shows. It seems that the haze

in the air is not the only thing that has been clouding the minds of Portland residents – it may have also been influencing their television choices!

The correlation coefficient of 0.5746593 suggests a stronger relationship than anticipated, leaving us in awe of the mysterious ways in which environmental factors and pop culture intersect. Who would have thought that smog and sitcoms could go hand in hand like a well-matched pair of protagonists?

As we wrap up this whimsical odyssey through the realms of air quality and entertainment, it's worth noting that while correlation does not necessarily imply causation, the allure of this connection is as captivating as a dramatic cliffhanger. It's almost as if the dusty particulate matter has been whispering Hollywood secrets to the denizens of Portland, steering them toward their next binge-worthy series like a mischievous puppet master pulling the strings of ratings.

With these findings in mind, it's safe to say that we've unraveled an intriguing web of interconnectedness between seemingly unrelated domains. So, as we bid adieu to this peculiar yet enchanting correlation, let's raise a toast to the unanticipated alliances that the world of research continues to unveil – for who knows what other unconventional relationships await our scientific scrutiny?

Therefore, in the spirit of whimsy and wonder, we assert with absolute certainty: no further research is needed in this domain. As they say, sometimes, the most delightful discoveries are the ones we stumble upon when we least expect them. Cheers to the air-pollution TV show connection – a revelation that is indeed a breath of fresh air in the world of research!