# The Corn-GMO Storm: A Maize-ing Connection to Organic Sales Volume

# Colton Hamilton, Andrew Tanner, George P Truman

Center for Higher Learning

This research delves into the deliciously intriguing relationship between the utilization of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) in the corn fields of Missouri and the voluminous sales of organic food across the United States. With a kernel of curiosity, we utilized data from the USDA and Statista to scrutinize this agrarian enigma. Our findings unveil a remarkably high correlation coefficient of 0.9438119, with a p-value less than 0.01 for the time period of 2000 to 2012. This cornundrum has us pondering the corncerns of GMO skeptics and organic enthusiasts alike, reaping kernels of knowledge and food for thought. Our examination of this correlation peels back the layers of agri-culture and invites further exploration into the intertwined world of genetically modified corn and organic food sales.

Corn, also known as maize (or should we say, "maize-ing"), stands as one of the most important crops in the United States, not only for its pivotal role in agriculture but also for its versatility as a food staple, an industrial product, and even a source of entertainment during summer barbecues. The introduction of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) into the cultivation of corn has sparked extensive debate and controversy, garnering attention from both the scientific community and the general public. Meanwhile, the organic food market has experienced significant growth, with consumers showing a growing appetite for foodstuffs that are, quite literally, "unmodified." Against this backdrop, it is essential to explore the interplay between GMO use in corn and the demand for organic foods.

The aim of this paper is to uncover the connections between the utilization of GMOs in the corn fields of Missouri and the sales volume of organic food across the United States. This investigation presents an opportunity to not only examine the statistical correlation between these two seemingly disparate realms, but also to peel back the husk, so to speak, on the intricate dynamics of the agricultural market. By shedding light on this intriguing relationship, we hope to spur further examination and stimulate discussions that go beyond the usual "stalk" of academic discourse.

At the heart of our inquiry lies the question: Do the surreptitious tendrils of GMOs—forged through advanced science and technology—impact the flourishing growth of organic food industry? Through the implementation of rigorous statistical analysis and comprehensive data investigation, we aim to unearth the core of this "cornundrum" and to cultivate a greater understanding of how these developments shape the agricultural landscape of the United States.

So, let us embark on this maize-ing journey into the world of corn, GMOs, and organic food sales, armed with the tools of

statistical analysis and a healthy sense of humor. The time has come to harvest a bounty of knowledge from the field of agricultural economics, sow the seeds of understanding, and reap a cornucopia of insight into this intriguing correlation.

## Review of existing research

A comprehensive review of the existing literature regarding the relationship between GMO utilization in the corn fields of Missouri and the sales volume of organic food in the United States reveals a rich tapestry of research and inquiry. Smith et al. (2010) present an in-depth analysis of the agricultural landscape, exploring the impact of GMO adoption on crop yields and economic outcomes. Doe and Jones (2015) offer a nuanced perspective on consumer behavior and preferences, shedding light on the burgeoning organic food market. These studies collectively lay the groundwork for our exploration, providing a foundation upon which to build our investigation into this perplexing maize of correlations.

In "The Omnivore's Dilemma," Pollan (2006) ventures into the tangled web of modern food production, probing the notion of authenticity in an era of GMO dominance. Shiva (2016) delves into the contentious terrain of agricultural biotechnology in "Who Really Feeds the World?", casting a critical eye on the implications of GMO proliferation. These critical works offer valuable insights into the socio-cultural dimensions of GMO usage and its relationship to organic food sales, broadening the scope of our inquiry beyond mere statistical analysis.

On a more whimsical note, fictional narratives such as Atwood's "Oryx and Crake" and Kingsolver's "Animal, Vegetable, Miracle" provide imaginative forays into the realm of genetically modified organisms and organic farming, inviting us to consider the broader implications of these agricultural developments through the lens of storytelling and allegory. These literary works, while not grounded in empirical data, nevertheless contribute to the discourse surrounding GMOs and organic food, reminding us that the cultural resonance of these topics extends far beyond the confines of academic research.

Turning our attention to the realm of cinema, the documentary "Food, Inc." and the animated film "Cloudy with a Chance of Meatballs" offer visual narratives that touch upon the complexities of food production, albeit with differing degrees of seriousness. The former provides a sobering exploration of industrialized agriculture and its impact on consumer choices, while the latter serves up a whimsical depiction of a world where food literally falls from the sky. While these films may not directly address the specific connection between GMO corn in Missouri and organic food sales nationwide, they nevertheless contribute to the public awareness and discourse surrounding food-related issues.

In synthesizing the disparate strands of research, literature, and popular culture, we are poised to embark on a journey of inquiry that will not only yield statistical insights but also cultivate a deeper understanding of the intricate interplay between GMO usage in corn and the sales volume of organic food in the United States. This examination, infused with levity and intellectual rigor, holds the promise of unearthing kernels of wisdom that transcend the conventional boundaries of academic discourse.

#### Procedure

To understand the tantalizing connection between GMO use in corn grown in Missouri and the sales volume of organic food in the United States, we employed a robust methodology that was as carefully crafted as a perfectly popped bowl of corn. Our research team meticulously gathered data from the USDA and Statista, using information spanning from the year 2000 to 2012. We then undertook a series of analyses that were more intricate than counting kernels on a cob.

First, we procured data on GMO use in corn grown in the splendid fields of Missouri, focusing on factors such as acreage, yield, and adoption rates. This data was as essential to our research as butter is to corn on the cob—simply inseparable. Subsequently, we delved into organic food sales volume in the United States, scrutinizing market trends, consumer preferences, and purchasing patterns. We navigated through this extensive data with a keen eye, much like a farmer scanning their fields for the first signs of growth in the spring.

Drawing inspiration from the roots of econometric analysis, we employed rigorous statistical methods to unveil the underlying relationship between these two seemingly disparate realms. Our fancy statistical techniques included nonlinear regressions, propensity score matching, and instrumental variables analysis, all chosen with the same level of discernment one uses to select the perfect ear of corn from the market. We then adorned our analysis with a robust set of control variables, like sprinkling some seasoning on popcorn, to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the interaction between GMO use and organic food sales volume. Moreover, to truly capture the dynamics of this correlation, we conducted a series of sensitivity analyses akin to adjusting the heat and cook time to achieve the perfect batch of caramelized popcorn. Sensitivity analyses were performed to test the robustness of our results against various assumptions and potential confounding factors, demonstrating our unwavering commitment to peeling back the husk of uncertainty surrounding this agricultural enigma.

In summary, our methodology was as thorough as shucking a corn cob before a summer barbecue, leaving no kernel unturned in our pursuit of understanding the maiznificent connection between GMO use in corn and the sales volume of organic food. Our approach allowed us to embark on this cornucopia of inquiry armed with statistical rigor, boundless curiosity, and a healthy appreciation for the complexities of the agricultural market.

#### Findings

The results of our investigation revealed a statistically significant correlation between the utilization of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) in corn grown in Missouri and the sales volume of organic food across the United States. The correlation coefficient of 0.9438119 indicated a remarkably strong positive association between these two variables. This finding suggests a robust relationship that certainly cannot be dismissed as mere "corn-incidence."

Furthermore, the coefficient of determination (r-squared) of 0.8907810 signifies that approximately 89.08% of the variability in organic food sales volume can be explained by the utilization of GMOs in corn production in Missouri. This substantial r-squared value emphasizes the substantial impact that GMOs in corn may have on the burgeoning growth of the organic food market. It's truly "a-maize-ing" how much insight can be gleaned from this statistical analysis.

Moreover, with a p-value of less than 0.01, we can reject the null hypothesis and affirm the presence of a significant correlation. This p-value provides compelling evidence that the correlation we observed is not the result of random chance, ensuring that our findings are as solid as a cob of corn in a field.



Figure 1. Scatterplot of the variables by year

At this juncture, it is crucial to highlight the significance of these results within the broader context of agricultural economics. The high correlation we uncovered raises thought-provoking questions and opens the door to further inquiry into the intricate interplay between GMO use in corn and the demand for organic food. This tantalizing correlation between these seemingly dichotomous aspects of the agricultural market beckons both skeptics and enthusiasts alike to the table for a hearty feast of discussion and debate.

Fig. 1 displays a scatterplot illustrating the striking correlation between GMO use in corn and organic food sales volume. The tightly clustered data points form a visually captivating and persuasive representation of the relationship we uncovered. The scatterplot serves as a compelling visual aid that speaks volumes about the interdependence of these agricultural phenomena.

In conclusion, our research unearths a compelling relationship between the utilization of GMOs in corn grown in Missouri and the sales volume of organic food across the United States. This "maize-ing" connection piques the curiosity of both scholars and laypersons alike, enticing them to delve deeper into the fertile fields of agricultural economics. The implications of this correlation hold promise for an array of discussions and future research endeavors, and we eagerly anticipate the fruitful harvest of knowledge that will ensue from further exploration of this captivating cornucopia of statistical relationships.

#### Discussion

The results of our investigation have unearthed an intriguing correlation between the use of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) in corn grown in Missouri and the sales volume of organic food across the United States. We find ourselves in a veritable cornucopia of statistical relationships, a-maize-ing as it may seem.

Our findings support and elaborate on the research conducted by Smith et al. (2010) and Doe and Jones (2015), both of whom offered valuable insights and paved the way for our investigation. The correlation coefficient of 0.9438119 that we observed aligns with the concerns raised by Smith et al. regarding the implications of GMO adoption on agricultural outcomes. And who would have thought that Doe and Jones' work on consumer behavior and preferences would play a kernel role in substantiating our findings on organic food sales volume?

In a similar vein, the whimsical forays into the realm of genetically modified organisms found in fictional narratives such as Atwood's "Oryx and Crake" and Kingsolver's "Animal, Vegetable, Miracle" have provided an imaginative lens through which to view the agricultural landscape. Are we seeing reality mirrored in these fictional works, or are they simply corntroversial? Nevertheless, their impact on our thinking cannot be dismissed as mere fiction!

Our substantial coefficient of determination (r-squared) of 0.8907810 sheds light on the intricate interplay between GMOs in corn and the burgeoning growth of the organic food market. The substantial variability in organic food sales volume explained by the utilization of GMOs in corn production

highlights the profound impact of genetic modification on consumer choices. As the saying goes, "when it rains, it pours" – in this case, organic sales have poured forth with a-MAIZE-ing enthusiasm.

Moreover, we are able to confidently reject the null hypothesis, as indicated by a p-value of less than 0.01. This further strengthens the validity of our findings and ensures they are as solid as a cob of corn in a field. It's not just a corn-incidence – our findings are rooted in sound statistical evidence.

Our results not only lend support to existing research but also raise a cornucopia of new questions and paradigms for future inquiry. It is evident that the relationship between GMO use in corn and the demand for organic food is ripe for further exploration. We anticipate a bountiful harvest of knowledge and lively discussions in the agricultural economics field, and we look forward to reaping what we've sown in this fertile ground of statistical insight.

In the words of the great bard, William Shake-spear, "What's in a grain? That which we call a rose by any other name would taste as sweet." So, whether it's GMO or organic, the taste of knowledge derived from this research is equally delightful.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, our investigation into the connection between GMO use in corn grown in Missouri and organic food sales volume in the United States has unveiled a compelling correlation that cannot be dismissed as mere "corn-incidence." The statistically significant correlation coefficient of 0.9438119 and the impressive coefficient of determination (r-squared) of 0.8907810 emphasize the substantial impact of GMOs in corn production on the burgeoning growth of the organic food market. It's quite "a-maize-ing" how much insight can be gleaned from this statistical analysis. The scatterplot serves as a visually captivating and persuasive representation of the relationship we uncovered, attracting attention like a stalk of corn at a farmers' market.

The implications of this correlation are ripe for further discussion and research, offering a bounty of knowledge and food for thought for scholars and enthusiasts alike. However, it is essential to acknowledge the limitations of our study, particularly the focus on the time period of 2000 to 2012. As the agricultural landscape continues to evolve, future research should aim to cultivate a deeper understanding of this intriguing correlation across different time frames and geographical regions.

In light of our findings, we assert that no more research is needed in this area. The "stalk" of knowledge we have harvested from this study, much like a cob of corn, is a-maize-ingly substantial, and we eagerly anticipate the fruitful discussions and insights that will sprout from this fertile ground of statistical relationships.

This paper is AI-generated, but the correlation and p-value are real. More info: tylervigen.com/spurious-research